**Minutes of meeting with Taylor Wimpey, 30 October 2023**

**Present:** Nick Stevens TW, Simon Devine TW, Kirsty McCluskey TW, Brian Westcott WPRA, Peter Cocker WPRA, Jennifer Crew WPRA

1. **Replan of houses along boundary with WP properties**

PC said that WPRA were appreciative of the replan of the composition of houses along the boundary and that the new plan now conformed with the intention of the outline plan to build houses that were similar in character and size to those in the surrounding area. There was still some concern about the 2.5 storey houses in the southern part of the boundary which is not part of the replan and JC suggested that these could be changed with a non-material amendment. SD said that the Council were taking too long to process NMAs and that they would be unable to change them as they needed to continue building.

1. **Boundary treatment**

JC reported that following a public meeting on 24 October, the consensus seemed to be that option 2 of those proposed was the better one. Option 1 had not been popular as it was perceived that the buffer area would be largely inaccessible for maintenance. At least if the area is in the ownership of TW residents and a covenant is in place, it will then be their responsibility to maintain it.

JC noted that the original proposal was for a 3m buffer between the two estates. This now seems to have shrunk to 1-2m or less in places. TW pointed out that the extended gardens of some residents would now be allowed to remain and would fall within the buffer area.

1. **Density**

JC commented that the density of some areas of the plan was much higher than the original outline plan which was a maximum of 35 dph. TW explained that the amount of land covered would be similar to the original plan. However WPRA considered that there would be an increase in the number of vehicles and parking would be a problem.